

**Questions for EDMVS on the Draft Detailed Review Paper on Mysid Life Cycle Test  
(2 July 2002)**

1. Does the EDMVS agree that the two-generation method recommended with *Americamysis bahia* is appropriate?
2. Does the EDMVS agree that prevalidation should evaluate the increased sensitivity of a two-generation design over the existing one-generation standard practice?
3. Should EPA explore the feasibility and utility of biochemical endpoints, as described in the DRP, for possible addition to the recommended protocol?
4. Does the EDMVS have suggestions to improve the DRP?